The Economic Geography of Global Warming

José-Luis Cruz (Princeton University)

Esteban Rossi-Hansberg (University of Chicago)

October 2021

An Economic Assessment Model

- Global warming is a **protracted**, **global**, phenomenon with **heterogeneous local effects**
- Standard climate models use loss functions relating aggregate economic outcomes to climate variables
  - Fail to incorporate behavioral responses, and therefore economic adaptation
  - Ignore the vast spatial heterogeneity in climate damages
- We propose and quantify a spatial and dynamic assessment model
  - Emphasizing the role of **economic adaptation through migration, trade, and innovation**

Model Characteristics

- We extend the spatial growth model in Desmet et al. (2018)
  - Add natality, energy, carbon cycle, and local temperature effect on amenities and productivities



  - Quantify using $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ G-Econ data on population and income in 2000
  - Set trade and mobility frictions to match gravity and net migration flows
  - Natality depends on income and temperature

Local Temperature Down-scaling

- We let $T_{t+1}(r) = T_t(r) + g(r) \cdot (T_{t+1} - T_t)$
  - where $g(\cdot)$ is a function of latitude, longitude, elevation, distance to coast, distance to ocean, distance to water, vegetation density and albedo



Damage Functions

- Invert fundamental amenities and productivities consistent with observed data (1990, 1995, 2000, 2005)
- Estimate damage function given by

$$\text{Log-Amenity}_t(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \delta_j^b \cdot T_t(r) \cdot \mathbb{1}\{T_t(r) \in \mathcal{T}_j\} + \iota(r) + \iota_t(s_j) + \varepsilon_t(r)$$

$$\text{Log-Productivity}_t(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \delta_j^a \cdot T_t(r) \cdot \mathbb{1}\{T_t(r) \in \mathcal{T}_j\} + \delta^z \cdot Z(r) + \iota_t(s_j) + \varepsilon_t(r)$$



Fossil and Clean Energy Costs

1. Fossil fuel extraction cost $f(\cdot)$



- ⋆ Data from Bauer et al. (2016)
- ⋆ Cost has asymptote at total CO_2 reserves

2. Set initial productivities to match fossil and clean energy use map

3. Set relative fossil and clean technology growth to match historical CO_2 emissions and clean energy use

Baseline Scenario: CO2 Emissions and Global Temperature





temperature

Baseline Scenario: Amenities and Productivities





worst-scenario

Baseline Scenario: Global and Local Population





Baseline Scenario: Welfare Cost of Global Warming





welfare, worst-scenario   real GDP, baseline   real GDP, worst-scenario

Baseline Scenario: Welfare Cost of Global Warming



Baseline Scenario: Uncertainty about Damage Functions





Baseline Scenario: Uncertainty about Damage Functions





Adaptation: Migration





real GDP

Adaptation: Trade





real GDP

Adaptation: Innovation





real GDP

Carbon Taxes



- Carbon tax of 50% equals 37 usd/tCO_2; similar to maximum in EU Emissions Trading Scheme
- Carbon tax of 200% equals 146 usd/tCO_2; similar to Swedish Tax

energy population

Carbon Taxes: Dynamic Effects



- Aggregate gains depend on discount factor and BGP growth rate

	PDV of real GDP			Welfare		
	BGP gr	β=0.965	β=0.969	BGP gr	β=0.965	β=0.969
τ=0%	3.076%	1	1	2.971%	1	1
τ=50%	3.081%	0.993	1.028	2.974%	0.998	1.012
τ=100%	3.083%	0.990	1.044	2.977%	0.997	1.019
τ=200%	3.086%	0.986	1.063	2.979%	0.996	1.026

Carbon Taxes: Local Effects





real GDP

Carbon Taxes with Abatement



- Perfect and cost-less abatement technology in 2100
- With abatement, carbon tax not only **flattens the temperature curve** but reduces total emissions significantly

Carbon Taxes and Abatement: Dynamic Effects





- With abatement, carbon tax results in same current cost but much larger welfare gains in the future
  - For $\tau = 200\%$ and $\beta = 0.969$, **welfare gains from carbon tax triple**

Clean Energy Subsidies



Clean Energy Subsidies: Local Effects





dynamic effects   real GDP

Conclusions

- We develop an economic spatial growth model of global warming
  - Accounts for **adaptation through trade, migration, innovation**
- Estimate impact of temperature on fundamentals
  - Heterogeneous spatial effect of temperature for amenities and productivities
- Large heterogeneity in climate damages over space
  - From welfare losses of 20% to gains of 11%
  - On average, welfare losses of 6%
  - **Large role of adaptation, particularly migration**
- Carbon taxes create trade-off between present and future benefit
  - Large disagreement across regions
  - **Highly effective only in combination with future abatement technology**

Thank You

Estimation: Energy





back

Estimation: Temperature





back

Worst-Scenario: Amenities and Productivities





back

Worst-Scenario: Welfare Cost of Global Warming



back

Baseline Scenario: Real GDP Cost of Global Warming



back

Worst-Scenario: Real GDP Cost of Global Warming





back

Adaptation: Migration and Real GDP





back

Adaptation: Trade and Real GDP





back

Adaptation: Innovation and Real GDP





back

Carbon Taxes: Energy Price





Carbon Taxes: Energy Price





back

Carbon Taxes: Population





Carbon Taxes: Population



back

Carbon Taxes: Local Real GDP





back

Clean Energy Subsidies: Dynamic Effects



	PDV of real GDP			Welfare		
	BGP gr	β=0.965	β=0.969	BGP gr	β=0.965	β=0.969
s=0%	3.076%	1	1	2.971%	1	1
s=25%	3.073%	1.012	1.005	2.967%	1.007	1.003
s=50%	3.066%	1.034	1.008	2.959%	1.021	1.006
s=75%	3.044%	1.098	1.007	2.935%	1.053	1.000

back

Clean Energy Subsidies: Local Real GDP





back